This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No. 921095-910614

3612

In Re Application Of: Stuart H. Thomson and Mark Y. Zhan Customer No. Group Art Unit Confirmation No. Filing Date Examiner Application No. 5439

Stephen T. Gordon

23644

DOOR EDGE PROTECTION SYSTEM FOR CONVENTIONAL AUTORACK RAILCARS Invention:

10/056,572

Attention: Office of Petitions **Mail Stop Petition COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS** P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

NOTE:

If information or assistance is needed in completing this form, please contact Petitions

Information at (703) 305-9282.

01-24-2002

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a timely and proper response to a notice or action by the Patent and Trademark Office. The date of abandonment is the day after the expiration date of the period set for reply in the Office notice or action plus any extension of time actually obtained.

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS FOR REVIVAL OF THIS APPLICATION

A grantable petition requires the following items: NOTE:

- Petition fee: (1)
- (2) Reply and/or issue fee;
- Terminal disclaimer with disclaimer fee--required for all utility and plant applications (3)filed before June 8, 1995; and for all design applications; and
- Statement that the entire delay was unintentional. (4)

| 1. | \boxtimes | A proposed reply to the above-identified notice or action: | | | |
|----|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | ⊠ is enclosed. □ was filed on | | | |
| | | The proposed reply is in the form of: | | | |
| 2. | \boxtimes | The issue fee: | | | |
| | | is enclosed. was paid on April 30, 2004 | | | |
| 3. | \boxtimes | The abandoned application was a: | | | |
| | | ☐ design application. ☐ utility application. ☐ plant application. | | | |
| 4. | | A terminal disclaimer (and fee) disclaiming a period equivalent to the period of abandonment is enclosed. | | | |
| 5. | | Since this utility/plant application was filed on or after June 8, 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required. | | | |

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No.

921095-910614 In Re Application Of: Stuart H. Thomson and Mark Y. Zhan Customer No. Group Art Unit Confirmation No. Filing Date Examiner Application No. 5439 23644 3612 Stephen T. Gordon 01-24-2002 10/056,572 Invention: DOOR EDGE PROTECTION SYSTEM FOR CONVENTIONAL AUTORACK RAILCARS **Calculation and Payment of Fees** Enclosed are the following fees: 6. Petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(m) in the amount of: \$1,330.00 9. Issue fee in the amount of: 10. Continuing application filing fee in the amount of: 11.

Terminal disclaimer fee in the amount of: 12. 🔲 \$1,330.00 Total fees enclosed: The fee of \$1,330 is to be paid as follows: ☐ A check in the amount of the fee is enclosed. ☑ The Director is hereby authorized to charge any fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 12-0913

Petition For Revival Of An Application For Patent Abandoned Unintentionally Under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (Large Entity)

Docket No. 921095-910614

in Re Application Of: Stuart H. Thomson and Mark Y. Zhan

Application No. 10/056,572

Filing Date 01-24-2002

Examiner Stephen T. Gordon

23644

Customer No. Group Art Unit 3612

Confirmation No.

5439

Invention: DOOR EDGE PROTECTION SYSTEM FOR CONVENTIONAL AUTORACK RAILCARS

Statement

The entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

David & Bregnia

Signature

Dated: 7/14/04

DAVID C. BREZINA **BARNES & THORNBURG LLP** P.O. BOX 2786

CHICAGO, IL 60690

REGISTRATION NO.: 34,128

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 7-15-2004 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail under 37 C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box

1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

DONNA B. VANDENBERG

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

CC:

BARNES & THORNBURG

Suite 4400 One North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 357-1313 (Telephone) (312) 759-5646 (Facsimile)



<u>PATENT APPLICATION</u> THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

| Customer No.: | 23644 | Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.8(a) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Application No.: | 10/056,572 | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: | | | |
| Confirmation No.: | 5439 | Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on Wednesday, July 14, 2004 | | | |
| Filing Date: | 01-24-2002 | 0 12.0 8 | | | |
| Group Art Unit: | 3612 | Signature) | | | |
| Examiner Name: | Stephen T. Gordon | Donna B. Vandenberg (Printed Name) | | | |
| Attorney Docket No.: | 921095-910614 | } } } | | | |
| First Named Inventor: | Stuart H. Thomson, et al | } } | | | |
| Title: | Door Edge Protection System for Conventional Autorack Railcars | } } | | | |
| FEES. If not limite payment a | [x] AUTHORIZATION TO PAY AND PETITION FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF ANY NECESSARY FEES. If any charges or fees must be paid in connection with the following Communication (including but not limited to the payment of Issue Fees), they may be paid out of our deposit account 12-0913. If this payment also requires a Petition, please construe this authorization to pay as the necessary Petition which is required to accompany this payment. | | | | |
| [] Applicant hereby petitions for amonth extension and entry of this Amendment which is sent with the month after the due date of, 2003. The payment of \$00 to cover themonth extension is enclosed herewith. | | | | | |

RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

BARNES & THORNBURG

Customer No.:

23644

Suite 4400 One North Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606 (312) 357-1313 (Telephone) (312) 759-5646 (Facsimile)

Certificate Under 37 CFR 1.8(a)

PATENT APPLICATION THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

}

| Application | on No.: | 10/056,572 | I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Confirmat | tion No.: | 5439 | first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on Wednesday, July 14, 2004 | | | | |
| Filing Date: | | 01-24-2002 | | | | | |
| Group Art Unit: | | 3612 | (Signature) | | | | |
| Examiner Name: | | Stephen T. Gordon | Donna B. Vandenberg (Printed Name) | | | | |
| Attorney Docket No.: | | 921095-910614 | } | | | | |
| First Named Inventor: | | Stuart H. Thomson, et al | } } } | | | | |
| Title: | | Door Edge Protection System for Conventional Autorack Railcars | } | | | | |
| AUTHORIZATION TO PAY AND PETITION FOR THE ACCEPTANCE OF ANY NECESSARY FEES. If any charges or fees must be paid in connection with the following Communication (including but not limited to the payment of Issue Fees), they may be paid out of our deposit account 12-0913. If this payment also requires a Petition, please construe this authorization to pay as the necessary Petition which is required to accompany this payment. | | | | | | | |
| [] 4 t | ntry of this Amendment which is sent within payment of \$00 to cover themonth | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OF DONNA B. VANDENBERG | | | | | | | |

IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

In response to the Notice of Abandonment (copy enclosed herewith), below is the Affidavit of Donna B. Vandenberg

I, Donna B. Vandenberg, secretary to David C. Brezina, state that I deposited the Issue Fee including a check in the amount of \$1,630.00 in the U.S. Mail on April 30, 2004 as indicated by my initials on the postcard (/dbv) stamped received by the U.S. Patent Office on May 3, 2004.

Donna B. Vandenberg

NOTARY

Before me personally appeared Donna Vandenberg, who is personally known to me and whose name is subscribed to the above foregoing instrument, and acknowledge that she signed, sealed and delivered the said instrument for the uses and purposes herein set forth this 15th day of July 2004.

Official Seal Jamie Mueller Notary Public State of Illinois My Commission Expires 09/27/05 In response to the Notice of Abandonment (copy enclosed herewith), applicant responds as follows.

- The Issue Fee was due and mailed on Friday, April 30, 2004.
- The checks covering the Issue Fee and assignment recordal were dated April 29, 2004 (copy of check stubs enclosed).
- The postcard stated that the Issue Fee, Assignment and checks were mailed on Friday April 30, 2004.
- The PTO acknowledges that the envelope containing the Issue Fee and checks was received on Monday May 3, 2004.
- Therefore, even though the Certificate of Mailing was neither dated nor signed, the
 envelope HAD to have been mailed from Chicago on either April 29, 2004 (the date
 the checks were written) or April 30, 2004 the day the Issue Fee was due (which is
 the Friday prior to the May 3rd date acknowledged by the PTO).
- Finally, functionally equivalent to the Issue Fee form (PTOL 85) being signed or dated by Donna Vandenberg is provided by her initials, "dbv", on the Postcard indicating that the package was mailed on April 30, 2004.
- Applicant's attorney submits herewith an Affidavit of his secretary, Donna B.
 Vandenberg, that the Issue Fee and corresponding check were deposited in the U.S.
 mail on April 30, 2004.

Therefore, applicant respectfully submits that the Issue Fee was mailed on the due date of April 30, 2004 and that the Notice of Abandonment should be rescinded. Applicant also submits that since the Issue Fee was paid on time that the Reinstatment Fee of \$1,330.00

is not required. However, if the Patent Office deems otherwise, the Reinstatement Fee can be charged to Applicant's Deposit Account 12-0913.

Respectfully submitted,

David C. Brezina

Barnes & Thornburg LLP

P.O. Box 2786

Chicago, IL 60690

Phone: 312-214-4802

Facsimile: 312-759-5646

Attorney for Applicant Registration No.: 34,128

United States Patentand Trademark Office UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov JUL 1 9 2004 FEST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE & TRADEMARY OF 01/24/2002 Stuart H. Thomson 1095-1066.3 5439 10/056,572 EXAMINER 06/29/2004 DAVID C. BREZINA GORDON, STEPHEN T BARNES & THORNBURG, LLP PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

'ARNES & THORNEUR

3612 DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PO BOX 2786 CHICAGO, IL 60690



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

PPLICATION NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT ATTORNEY DOCKET NO 10 056 572 **EXAMINER ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER DATE MAILED: NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT This application is abandoned in view of: Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on _ A reply (with Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of ___ _) was received on which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total month(s)) which expired on A proposed reply was received on _ ___ , but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). A reply was received on , but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in the last box below). No reply has been received. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on 5-3-4 (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated______), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85)(or Notice of Publication Fee Due). The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ The issue fee by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required, by 37 CFR 1.18(d) is \$_ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, have not been received. Applicant's failure to timely file corrrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37). Proposed corrected drawings were received on____ __ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated ____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. No corrected drawings have been received. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all the applicants. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon filing of a continuing application. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on ____ _ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. The reason(s) below: Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

2 (07/01)



CASE NO. 921095-910614

Holland L.P.
Please acknowledge receipt of the enclosed:

Stuart H. Thomson et al



APPLN NO : 10/056,572 RNES & THORNING

FILING DATE: 1/24/2002

- 1. <u>Issue Fee</u>
- 2. <u>Checks \$1,630.00 and \$40.00</u>
- Assignment and PTO 1595
- 4.
- 5. Certificate of First Class Mail
- 6. Return Postcard

DUE DATE (If Any):

April 30, 2004

DATE SENT

April 30, 2004

/dbv

